



Cuellar-Partida, G., Deloukas, P., Kemp, J. P., McArdle, W. L., Paternoster, L., Davey Smith, G., Timpson, N. J., Medland, S. E., & al., E. (2020). Genome-wide association study identifies 48 common genetic variants associated with handedness. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 5, 59–70. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-00956-y>

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available):
[10.1038/s41562-020-00956-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-00956-y)

[Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research](#)
PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Springer Nature at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-00956-y>. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research

General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available:
<http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/>

Genome-wide association study identifies 48 common genetic variants associated with handedness.

Gabriel Cuellar-Partida^{1, 2}, Joyce Y Tung², Nicholas Eriksson², Eva Albrecht³, Fazil Aliev⁴, Ole A Andreassen^{5,6}, Inês Barroso^{7,8,9}, Jacques S Beckmann¹⁰, Marco P Boks¹¹, Dorret I Boomsma^{12,13}, Heather A Boyd¹⁴, Monique MB Breteler¹⁵, Harry Campbell¹⁶, Daniel I Chasman^{17,18}, Lynn F Cherkas¹⁹, Gail Davies^{20,21}, Eco JC de Geus^{12,13}, Ian J Deary^{20,21}, Panos Deloukas²², Danielle M Dick²³, David L Duffy²⁴, Johan G Eriksson^{25,26}, Tõnu Esko^{27,28}, Bjarke Feenstra¹⁴, Frank Geller¹⁴, Christian Gieger^{29,30}, Ina Giegling³¹, Scott D Gordon²⁴, Jiali Han^{32,33}, Thomas F Hansen^{34,35}, Annette M Hartmann³¹, Caroline Hayward³⁶, Kauko Heikkilä³⁷, Andrew A Hicks³⁸, Joel N Hirschhorn^{39,40,41}, Jouke-Jan Hottenga^{12,13}, Jennifer E Huffman³⁶, Liang-Dar Hwang¹, Mohammad A Ikram⁴², Jaakko Kaprio^{43,44}, John P Kemp^{1,45}, Kay-Tee Khaw⁴⁶, Norman Klopp⁴⁷, Bettina Konte³¹, Zoltan Kutalik^{48,49}, Jari Lahti^{50,51}, Xin Li^{32,33}, Ruth JF Loos^{8,52,53}, Michelle Luciano^{20,21}, Sigurdur H Magnusson⁵⁴, Massimo Mangino¹⁹, Pedro Marques-Vidal⁵⁵, Nicholas G Martin²⁴, Wendy L McArdle⁵⁶, Mark I McCarthy^{57,58,59†}, Carolina Medina-Gomez^{42,60}, Mads Melbye^{14,61,62}, Scott A Melville³⁸, Andres Metspalu²⁷, Lili Milani²⁷, Vincent Mooser⁶³, Mari Nelis²⁷, Dale R Nyholt^{24,64}, Kevin S O'Connell⁵, Roel A Ophoff^{65,66}, Cameron Palmer⁶⁷, Aarno Palotie⁶⁸, Teemu Palviainen⁶⁸, Guillaume Pare⁶⁹, Lavinia Paternoster⁷⁰, Leena Peltonen^{*}, Brenda WJH Penninx^{13,71}, Ozren Polasek^{72,73}, Peter P Pramstaller³⁸, Inga Prokopenko^{74,75}, Katri Raikonen⁵⁰, Samuli Ripatti⁶⁸, Fernando Rivadeneira^{42,60}, Igor Rudan⁷⁶, Dan Rujescu³¹, Johannes H Smit^{13,77}, George Davey Smith²², Jordan W Smoller^{78,79}, Nicole Soranzo⁸⁰, Tim D Spector¹⁹, Beate St Pourcain^{22,81,82}, John M Starr^{*}, Hreinn Stefánsson⁸³, Stacy Steinberg⁸³, Maris Teder-Laving²⁷, Gudmar Thorleifsson⁸³, Kari Stefansson⁸³, Nicholas J Timpson²², André G Uitterlinden^{42,60}, Cornelia M van Duijn^{42,60}, Frank JA van Rooij⁴², Jaqueline M Vink^{85,86}, Peter Vollenweider⁵⁵, Eero Vuoksimaa⁶⁸, Gérard Waeber⁵⁵, Nicholas J Wareham⁸⁷, Nicole Warrington¹, Dawn Waterworth⁸⁸, Thomas Werge^{34,61,89}, H.-Erich Wichmann⁹⁰, Elisabeth Widen⁶⁸, Gonneke Willemssen⁹¹, Alan F Wright³⁶, Margaret J Wright^{92,93}, Mousheng Xu⁹⁴, Jing Hua Zhao⁹⁵, Peter Kraft⁹⁶, David A Hinds², Cecilia M Lindgren^{97,98**}, Reedik Magi^{27**}, Benjamin M Neale^{99,100**}, David M Evans^{1,22§**}, Sarah E Medland^{101,1§**}

1. The University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, The University of Queensland, Woolloongabba, Australia
2. 23andMe Inc, Sunnyvale, California, USA
3. Institute of Genetic Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany
4. Departments of Psychology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA
5. NORMENT, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
6. Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
7. Human genetics, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK
8. MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
9. Wellcome-MRC Institute of Metabolic Science, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
10. Service of Medical Genetics, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
11. Department of Psychiatry, UMC Utrecht Brain Center, University Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
12. Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
13. Amsterdam Public Health research institute, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
14. Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
15. Population Health Sciences, German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases (DZNE), Bonn, Germany
16. Usher Institute of Population Health Sciences and Informatics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
17. Division of Preventive Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
18. Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
19. Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, London, UK

20. Psychology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
21. Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
22. MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
23. Departments of Psychology and Human & Molecular Genetics, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, USA
24. Genetic Epidemiology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia
25. Department of General Practice and Primary health Care, University of Helsinki and Helsinki University Hospital, Helsinki, Finland
26. Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland,
27. Estonian Genome Center, Institute of Genomics, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia
28. Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, USA
29. Research Unit of Molecular Epidemiology, Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany
30. German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), München-Neuherberg, Neuherberg, Germany
31. University Clinic and Outpatient Clinic for Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle (Saale), Germany
32. Department of Epidemiology, Richard M. Fairbanks School of Public Health, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA
33. Melvin and Bren Simon Cancer Center, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA
34. Institute of Biological Psychiatry, Mental Health Services of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
35. Danish Headache Center, Copenhagen University Hospital, Glostrup, Denmark
36. MRC Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom
37. Institute for Molecular Medicine FI, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
38. Institute for Biomedicine, Eurac Research, Bolzano, Italy
39. Division of Endocrinology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, USA
40. Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA
41. Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, USA
42. Epidemiology, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
43. Institute for Molecular Medicine FIMM, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
44. Dept of Public Health, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
45. Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
46. Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
47. Hannover Unified Biobank, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany
48. Center for Primary Care and Public Health, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
49. Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland,
50. Department of Psychology and Logopedics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
51. Turku Institute for Advanced Studies, University of Turku, Turku, Finland
52. The Charles Bronfman Institute for Personalized Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA
53. The Mindich Child Health and Development Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, USA
54. deCODE Genetics, Reykjavik, Iceland
55. Department of Medicine, Internal Medicine, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
56. Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
57. Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
58. Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology & Metabolism, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
59. Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford University Hospitals Trust, Oxford, UK
60. Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
61. Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
62. Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, USA
63. Service of Clinical Chemistry, Lausanne University Hospital and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland
64. School of Biomedical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia
65. Department of Human Genetics, University California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
66. Center for Neurobehavioral Genetics, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, University California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA
67. Department of Computer Science, Columbia University, New York, USA
68. Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
69. Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada
70. MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK,
71. Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, VU University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
72. Department of Public Health, University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia
73. Research unit, Psychiatric hospital Sveti Ivan, Zagreb, Croatia
74. Section of Statistical Multi-Omics, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK

75. Section of Genomics of Common Disease, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, UK
76. Centre for Global Health Research, The Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, UK
77. Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, VU University, Amsterdam, Netherlands
78. Department of Psychiatry and Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA
79. Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, USA
80. Human genetics, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, UK
81. Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Wundtlaan, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
82. Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
83. deCODE genetics, Reykjavik, Iceland
84. Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
85. Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, Netherlands
86. Behavioural Science Institute, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
87. MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
88. Human genetics, GlaxoSmithKline, Collegeville, PA, USA
89. The Lundbeck Foundation's IPSYCH Initiative, Copenhagen, Denmark
90. Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Center Munich, Germany
91. Department of Biological Psychology, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, Netherlands
92. Queensland Brain Institute, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
93. Centre for Advanced Imaging, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
94. Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA
95. Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom
96. Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA
97. Big Data Institute at the Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
98. Wellcome Centre for Human Genetics, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
99. Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, USA
100. Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, USA
101. Psychiatric Genetics, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, Australia

* Professor John M Starr and Professor Leena Peltonen have passed away. We have included them in memory of their work on this project.

† Current address: Human Genetics, Genentech, 1 DNA Way, South San Francisco, CA 94080

**These authors jointly supervised this work.

§ Correspondence should be addressed to
David M Evans (d.evans1@uq.edu.au) and Sarah E. Medland (Sarah.Medland@qimrberghofer.edu.au)

Abstract

Handedness has been studied extensively because of its relationship with language and the over-representation of left-handers in some neurodevelopmental disorders. Using data from the UK Biobank, 23andMe and the International Handedness Consortium, we conducted a genome-wide association meta-analysis of handedness ($N = 1,766,671$). We found 41 loci associated ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) with left-handedness and seven associated with ambidexterity. Tissue enrichment analysis implicated the central nervous system in the etiology of handedness. Pathways including regulation of microtubules and brain morphology were also highlighted. We found suggestive positive genetic correlations between left-handedness and neuropsychiatric traits including schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Further, we show that genetic correlation between left-handedness and ambidexterity is low ($r_G = 0.26$) implying that these traits are largely influenced by different genetic mechanisms. Our findings suggest that handedness is highly polygenic, and that the genetic variants that predispose to left-handedness may underlie part of the association with some psychiatric disorders.

Handedness refers to the preferential use of one hand over the other. Conversely, ambidexterity refers to the ability to perform the same action equally well with both hands. Hand preference is first observed during gestation as embryos begin to exhibit single arm movements^{1,2}. Across the life-span the consistent use of one hand leads to alterations in the macro- and micro-morphology of bone³ resulting in enduring asymmetries in bone form and density^{4,5}. At the neurological level, handedness is associated with lateralization of language (the side of the brain involved in language) and other cognitive effects^{6,7}. The prevalence of left-handedness in modern western cultures is approximately 9%⁸ and is greater in males than females⁹. While handedness is conceptually simple, its aetiology and whether it is related to brain and visceral (internal organs) asymmetry is unclear.

Since the mid-1980s, the literature regarding the genetics of handedness and lateralization has been dominated by the Right-shift¹⁰ and Dextral-chance¹¹ theories. Both theories involve additive biallelic monogenic systems in which an allele at the locus biases an individual towards right handedness, while the second allele is a null allele that results in random determination of handedness by fluctuating asymmetry. The allele frequency of the right-shift variant has been estimated at ~43.5%¹⁰, whilst that of the Dextral-chance variant has been estimated at ~20% in populations with a 10% prevalence of left-handedness¹¹. A joint-analysis of data from 35 twin studies found that additive genetic factors accounted for 25.5% (95% CI: 15.7, 29.5%) of the phenotypic variance of handedness¹², which is consistent with predictions of the variance explained under the single gene right-shift and Dextral-change models. However, linkage studies¹³⁻¹⁶, candidate gene and genome-wide association studies (GWAS)¹⁷⁻²¹ have failed to identify any putative major gene for handedness.

Most recently, two large-scale GWAS identified four genomic loci containing common variants of small effect associated with handedness^{20,21}. However, both GWAS failed to replicate signals at the *LRRTM1*, *PCSK6* and the X-linked Androgen receptor genes that had been reported previously in smaller genetic association studies¹⁷⁻¹⁹. In this study, we present findings from the world's largest GWAS meta-analysis of handedness to date (N = 1,766,671), combining data from 32 cohorts from the International Handedness Consortium (IHC) (N = 125,612), 23andMe (N = 1,178,877) and UK Biobank (N = 462,182).

Results

Genome-wide association study of left-handedness

Across all studies, the handedness phenotype was assessed by questionnaire that evaluated either which *hand was used for writing* or for *self-declared handedness*. All cohorts were randomly ascertained with respect to handedness. Combining data across the 32 IHC cohorts, 23andMe and UK Biobank yielded 1,534,836 right-handed and 194,198 left-handed (11.0%) individuals [Supplementary Table 1]. After quality control (Methods), the GWAS meta-analysis included 13,346,399 SNPs (including autosomal and X chromosome SNPs) with a minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.5%.

The genetic correlations as estimated by bivariate LD-score regression²² between the results from the UK Biobank, 23andMe and IHC GWAS were ($r_G^{\text{UKB-23andMe}} = 0.88$, s.e. = 0.05, $r_G^{\text{UKB-IHC}} = 0.73$, s.e. = 0.16, $r_G^{\text{IHC-23andMe}} = 0.60$, s.e. = 0.11) suggesting that the three GWAS were capturing many of the same genetic loci for handedness. There was some inflation of the test statistics following meta-analysis ($\lambda_{GC} = 1.22$); however the intercept from LD-score regression analysis²³ was 1.01 suggesting that the inflation was due to polygenicity rather than bias due to population stratification or duplication of participants across the UK Biobank, 23andMe and IHC studies.

We identified 41 loci that met the threshold for genome-wide significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) (Figure 1, Supplementary Table 2). Loci were defined as distinct if independent genome-wide significant signals were separated by at least 1Mb except for the MHC and 17q21.31 regions (the 17q21.31 region contains a common inversion polymorphism²⁴) where we only report the lead signals due to the extent of linkage-disequilibrium across these loci. Summary statistics for the lead variants at genome-wide significant loci are presented in Table 1 along with the gene nearest to the lead SNP. A description of the putative functions of the nearest gene is included in Supplementary Table 2. Conditional analyses identified 9 additional independent SNPs at genome-wide significance near the lead SNPs on chromosome 2q, 6p, 16q and 17q [Supplementary Table 3]. Interestingly, the list of genome-wide significant associations included multiple variants close to genes involved in microtubule formation or regulation (i.e. *MAP2*, *TUBB*, *TUBB3*, *NDRG1*, *TUBB4A*, *TUBA1B*, *BUB3*, *TTC28*). A phenome-wide association scan (PheWAS) of the lead SNPs using GWAS summary data from 1,349 traits revealed that 28 out of the 41 lead SNPs have been previously associated with other complex traits (Supplementary Table 4). Among these results, we highlight that the rs6224, rs13107325 and rs45527431 variants have been previously associated with schizophrenia at genome-wide levels of significance ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). Alleles at these loci had the same direction of effect (i.e. those that increased odds of left-handedness also increased risk of schizophrenia). Further, we found that seven variants associated with left-handedness were also associated with educational attainment; however, the direction of effect of these SNPs on left-handedness and educational attainment was not consistent. Future colocalization analyses will be needed to assess if the same SNPs associated with handedness also affect these other traits or whether the pattern of signals are more likely due to linkage disequilibrium with another causal variant.

In order to identify the most likely tissues and pathways underling the GWAS signals, we used DEPICT²⁵ and MAGMA²⁶. Results from both DEPICT and MAGMA's tissue enrichment analysis implicated (FDR < 5%) the central nervous system, including brain tissues such as the hippocampus and cerebrum (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 5), consistent with the hypothesis that handedness is primarily a neurological trait. We observed statistically significant evidence for pathways involved in left-handedness (FDR < 5%) including regulation of microtubule and axons, as well as neurogenesis and morphology regulation of the cerebral cortex and hippocampus (Table 3).

We then performed gene-based analyses using gene-expression prediction models of brain tissues using S-MultiXcan to identify additional loci²⁷. In total, we tested the association between the predicted expression of 14,501 genes in brain tissues and left-handedness. In addition to detecting significant associations ($P < 3.44 \times 10^{-6}$) of genes within the loci identified during the meta-analysis, we observed an association between left-handedness and the predicted expression of *AMIGO1* ($P = 2.82 \times 10^{-7}$), a gene involved in growth and fasciculation of neurites from cultured hippocampal neurons, and that may be involved in myelination of developing neural axons²⁸. Supplementary Table 6 shows the statistically significant associations from the S-MultiXcan analysis.

Inter alia, we applied the Summary-data-based Mendelian Randomization (SMR) approach using eQTL data from PsychENCODE^{29,30} and from a meta-analysis of brain tissues' eQTL data³¹ that included GTEx³², CMC³³ and ROSEMAP³⁴ to identify additional loci and pinpoint genes behind the GWAS associations. Through this approach we were unable to identify genes outside the loci from our main GWAS; however, we were able to implicate the *NMT1*, *TUBA1C*, *FES*, *CENPBD1* and *BCR* genes as candidates underlying some of our genome-wide significant associations. Supplementary Table 7 shows all the statistically significant associations from the SMR analysis.

Multiple studies have reported that left-handedness and ambidexterity are more prevalent in males than in females⁹. Consistent with this observation, we found that 11.9% of male participants in the IHC cohorts reported being left-handed or ambidextrous, compared to only 9.3% of females (OR = 1.31; 95% CI: 1.25 - 1.38; $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) [Supplementary Table 8]. Similarly, in UK Biobank, 10.5% of males and 9.9% of females were left handed (OR = 1.07; 95% CI: 1.05 - 1.09; $P = 1.87 \times 10^{-11}$) and in 23andMe 15.6% of males and 12.6% of females were left handed (OR = 1.28; 95% CI: 1.26 - 1.30; $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Sex differences in ambidexterity were also apparent in the UK Biobank and 23andMe cohorts (these data were not available for the IHC cohorts). In UK Biobank, 2% of males and 1.30% of females reported being ambidextrous (OR = 1.55; 95% CI: 1.47 - 1.62; $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) and in 23andMe 3.45% of males and 2.61% of females (OR = 1.33; 95% CI: 1.28 - 1.37; $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Birth year had a small but significant effect on left-handedness with individuals who were born more recently being more likely to be left-handed (OR = 1.008 per year; 95% CI: 1.007 - 1.009; $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$).

The differences in prevalence between males and females and a previously reported association between the X-linked androgen receptor gene and handedness¹⁷ could reflect the involvement of hormone-related genes in handedness aetiology. We therefore carried out a sex-stratified GWAS of handedness in the UK Biobank data using left-handed individuals as cases and right-handed individuals as controls; however, we did not identify any genome-wide significant loci. Despite this, the point estimate of the genetic correlation between male handedness and female handedness computed using LD-score regression was lower than unity but not significantly different from one ($r_G = 0.77$, s.e. = 0.12, $P = 0.055$).

Associations with previously reported candidate genes

All loci identified in recent GWAS of handedness from the UK Biobank, were replicated in our study^{20,21}. However, we found no evidence of association between left-handedness and genes and genetic variants reported in other prior studies. The SNPs rs1446109, rs1007371 and rs723524 in the *LRRTM1* locus reported by Francks *et al*¹⁸ did not reach nominal significance in any of the analyses performed ($P > 0.05$). Similarly the SNP rs11855415 reported by Scerri *et al*¹⁹ as associated with left-handedness in dyslexic individuals also did not show evidence of association ($P > 0.05$). Further, we investigated if the 27 genes exhibiting asymmetric expression in early development of the cerebral cortex described by Sun *et al*³⁵ were also associated with handedness in our S-MultiXcan analyses. Only 11 out of the 27 asymmetry genes were available in our analysis, and after adjusting the results for multiple testing, we did not observe any significant association [Supplementary Table 9]. In a more recent study, Ocklenburg and colleagues³⁶ list 74 genes displaying asymmetric expression in cervical and anterior thoracic spinal cord segments of five human fetuses. In total, 43 out of the 74 genes were in our S-MultiXcan analyses, of which only *HIST1H4C* was statistically significant after correcting for multiple testing ($P = 2.2 \times 10^{-4}$) [Supplementary Table 10].

Heritability of left-handedness and genetic correlations with other traits

Previous twin studies have estimated the heritability of left-handedness as around 25%¹². In the present study, we employed LD-score regression, G-REML analysis as implemented in BOLT-LMM, and maximum likelihood analysis of identity by descent sharing in close relatives³⁷ to provide complementary estimates of SNP heritability and total heritability that relied on a different set of assumptions to the classical twin model. Using GWAS summary statistics from our study and LD-score regression, we estimated that the variance explained by SNPs was 3.45% (s.e. = 0.17%) on the liability scale assuming the prevalence of left-handedness is 10% [Table 4]. Using genotypic data from the UK Biobank Study (and age and sex as covariates) and G-REML analysis, we also obtained low estimates of the SNP heritability (5.87%, s.e. = 2.21%). Due to the large disparity between estimates of heritability from twin studies and the lower estimates of SNP heritability from the above approaches, we estimated the heritability of handedness using autosomal Identity By Descent (IBD) information from closely related individuals³⁷ in the UK Biobank (estimated genome-wide IBD > 8%). We partitioned the phenotypic variance into additive genetic effects (A), shared environmental effects (C) and individual environmental inputs (E) (see Methods section). We estimated that additive genetic effects explained 11.9% (95% CI: 7.2 – 17.7) of the phenotypic variance in handedness while shared environment and individual environment accounted for 4.6% (95% CI: 0 – 9.0) and 83.6% (95% CI: 75.2 – 85.6) of the variance in liability respectively [Table 4]. Dropping (C) from the model did not significantly worsen the fit of the model ($P = 0.29$). Estimates from the A+E model were 19.7% (95% CI: 13.6 – 25.7) for additive genetic effects, overlapping with those from twin studies.

We investigated the genetic correlation between left-handedness and 1349 complex traits using LD-score regression as implemented in CTG-VL³⁸. We did not observe any genetic correlations at FDR < 5% beside handedness itself. However, we observed a general inflation

of p values across the traits (i.e. the expected number of traits with genetic correlations at $p < 0.05$ under the null hypothesis of no association was 67.45, whereas we observed 102 traits with $p < 0.05$). We also observed suggestive positive correlations with neurological and psychiatric traits including schizophrenia ($P = 0.005663$), bipolar disorder ($P = 0.0023$), intra-cranial volume ($P = 0.01205$) and educational attainment ($P = 0.001772$) and negative correlations with mean pallidum volume ($P = 0.01124$) [Supplementary Table 11].

Genome-wide association study of ambidexterity

We carried out a separate GWAS of ambidexterity in the UK Biobank and 23andMe data using ambidextrous individuals as cases ($N = 37,637$; ~2% of the total sample) and right-handed individuals as controls ($N = 1,422,823$). This meta-analysis included 12,493,443 autosomal and X chromosome SNPs with a MAF $> 0.5\%$.

Similar to the left-handedness GWAS, prior to meta-analysis, we computed the genetic correlation between the UK Biobank ambidexterity GWAS and the 23andMe GWAS. The estimate of the genetic correlation was $r_G = 1$ (s.e. = 0.15) indicating that both GWAS were capturing the same genetic loci. After meta-analysis, we identified seven loci with $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ (Figure 2). Table 5 displays the summary statistics for the lead SNPs at these loci along with the closest gene. Full summary statistics and description of the nearest gene for these loci are included in Supplementary Table 12. There was some overlap between genome-wide significant SNPs associated with left-handedness and ambidexterity with 16 out of the 41 SNPs associated with left-handedness displaying a nominal significant association with ambidexterity ($P < 0.05$); 15 of which were also in the same direction of effect (Supplementary Table 13). Conditional analyses did not identify further independent signals at genome-wide levels of significance. PheWAS revealed that the lead SNPs have been implicated in anthropometric traits and blood biomarkers [Supplementary Table 14].

DEPICT analyses did not identify any tissue or pathway at $FDR < 5\%$. However, MAGMA tissue enrichment analyses highlighted all the brain tissues tested ($FDR < 5\%$), including brain cerebellar hemisphere and the cerebellum [Supplementary Table 15]. MAGMA pathway analysis identified 16 pathways ($FDR < 5\%$), including regulation of cell size, basal dendrite, postsynaptic cytosol among others that are hard to interpret such as pulmonary valve morphogenesis and development [Supplementary Table 16].

S-MultiXcan analyses based on the association between predicted gene-expression in brain tissues and ambidexterity identified the genes *QTRTD1*, *TMEM215*, *RPL41* and *RAB40C* in addition to those loci identified during the GWAS [Supplementary Table 17]. SMR analyses pinpointed *TUBA1C* and *CYP51A1* as potentially behind the GWAS associations on chromosome 12 and 7 respectively [Supplementary Table 18].

Heritability of Ambidexterity and genetic correlations

The number of ambidextrous individuals in UK Biobank was not enough to precisely estimate the heritability using maximum likelihood analysis of identity by descent sharing in close relatives. However, the SNP heritability of ambidexterity on the liability scale (1% prevalence)

estimated through LD-score regression and REML implemented in BOLT-LMM was higher than that observed for left-handedness ($h^2_g = 0.12$ (s.e. = 0.007) and $h^2_g = 0.15$ (s.e. = 0.014)).

We estimated the genetic correlation between ambidexterity and a catalogue of 1349 traits with GWAS summary statistics. Our analyses revealed 575 genetic correlations at FDR < 5%. Among the strongest correlations were positive genetic correlations between ambidexterity and traits related to pain and injuries, body mass index, and a negative genetic correlation with educational attainment [Supplementary Table 19]. Interestingly, the genetic correlation between our left-handedness meta-analysis and our ambidexterity meta-analysis was only moderate ($r_G = 0.24$, s.e = 0.03), suggesting divergent genetic etiologies.

Discussion

We have carried out the largest genetic study of handedness to date. Our GWAS and SNP heritability analyses demonstrate conclusively that handedness is a polygenic trait – with multiple genetic variants which implicate multiple biological pathways each increasing the odds of being left-handed or ambidextrous by a small amount. We identified 41 left-handedness and 7 ambidexterity loci that reached genome-wide significance. Our findings are in contrast to the single gene right-shift¹⁰ and dextral-chance¹¹ hypotheses, where the causal genes are hypothesised to account for the heritability of handedness. If these large effect variants do exist, they should have been detected by our GWAS meta-analysis which provided over 90% statistical power [Supplementary Table 20] to detect variants with effect sizes as small as a 5% increase in odds per allele for common variants (MAF>0.05) at genome-wide significance ($\alpha = 5 \times 10^{-8}$). Instead, the present findings firmly support the hypothesis that handedness, like many other behavioural and neurological traits, is influenced by many variants of small effect and multiple biological pathways.

Using different methods and cohorts, we estimated the SNP heritability (h_g^2) of handedness to be between 3% and 6%. However, by using IBD-based methods applied to siblings and other relative pairs, we estimated the narrow sense heritability (h^2) to be 11.9% (95% CI: 7.2 – 17.7). Although this is lower than that obtained from twin studies (25%; 95 CI: 15.7 - 29.5¹² and 21%; 95% CI: 11 – 30³⁹), the confidence intervals for the estimates overlap. Interestingly, h_g^2 estimates for ambidexterity were larger (12%-15%), suggesting that common SNPs tag a higher proportion of variability in liability to ambidexterity than in liability to left-handedness.

Our GWAS meta-analysis of left-handedness identified eight loci close to genes involved in microtubule formation and regulation. An enrichment for microtubule-related pathways was then confirmed by the DEPICT analysis. Microtubules are polymers that form part of the cytoskeleton and are essential in several cellular processes including intracellular transport, cytoplasmic organization and cell division. With respect to handedness, microtubule proteins play important roles during development and migration of neurons, plasticity, and neurodegenerative processes^{40,41}. The association between handedness and variation in microtubule genes also provides insights into differences in prevalence of various neuropsychiatric disorders and left-handedness observed in some epidemiological studies^{42,43}. Recent genetic studies have identified mutations in a wide variety of tubulin isotypes

and microtubule-related proteins in many of the major neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative diseases^{40,44-46}.

We observed an association between left-handedness and the 17q21.31 locus. A deletion in this locus is known to cause Koolen de Vries syndrome, a disorder characterized by intellectual disability, developmental delay and neurological abnormalities of the corpus callosum, hippocampi and ventricles. Variation in the 17q21 locus, including structural variation, has been associated with schizophrenia⁴⁷, autism^{48,49} and cognition⁵⁰. In addition, based on our pheWAS, the rs55974014 SNP within this locus has been associated with mood swings, neuroticism, and educational attainment traits. The rs55974014 SNP is located near several genes with neurological functions, including *CRHR1* and *NSF*. Other SNPs close to this gene have been associated with intelligence⁵¹ and Parkinson's disease⁵². Future colocalization analyses are warranted to assess the veracity of the same variant affecting multiple traits.

The genetic correlation between left-handedness and ambidexterity was low, suggesting that the genetic architecture underlying the two traits is different. Only 15 out of the 41 loci associated with left-handedness were associated with ambidexterity at marginal significance levels or lower ($P < 0.05$). However, tissue and pathway enrichment analyses indicated that just as for left-handedness, the central nervous system was implicated. Ambidexterity showed significant genetic correlations with multiple traits, particularly anthropometric and those involving pain and injuries. This suggests that reporting being able to write with both hands may be a result from injuries that led to use of the other hand, or may be increase injury risk. Future studies into the genetics of ambidexterity should include detailed phenotyping that considers the reasons leading to hand use preference.

In contrast, left handedness was not significantly genetically correlated with other (non-handedness) traits in our study. Given that previous studies have shown that the phenotypic correlation between left handedness and most traits and diseases is low, it is perhaps unsurprising that the magnitude of most genetic correlations with left handedness was low also. However, our lack of significant results was also partially a reflection of the large number of statistical tests performed and the conservative testing correction applied when estimating the genetic correlation between left handedness and other traits. Nevertheless, we observed a clear inflation of the distribution of p values when compared to the null indicating that there is likely to be a small degree of genetic overlap between handedness and other traits. Among the suggestive genetic correlations ($P < 0.05$), we observed positive genetic correlations between left-handedness and schizophrenia and bipolar disorder consistent with some previous observations of greater atypical hand dominance in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder patients^{53,54}.

The present study benefitted from having a large sample size that allowed the detection of dozens of novel variants of small effect on handedness. However, it is worth noting that the genetic correlations derived from GWAS summary statistics of left-handedness in the IHC, 23andMe and UK Biobank were high but statistically different from one, potentially impacting the statistical power of the meta-analysis. These differences may have been due to the way data was collected in each of the cohorts. For example, in the UK Biobank, handedness data was obtained at up to three occasions, while this was not the case for the 23andMe and the

IHC cohorts. Furthermore, genetic correlations with IHC may have been affected by the IHC including ambidextrous and left-handed individuals as cases.

In summary, we report the world's largest GWAS meta-analysis of handedness. We showed that handedness is polygenic and found evidence that microtubule genes may play an essential role in lateralization. Loci mapped in the present study warrant further exploration of their potential role in neurological development and laterality.

Methods

As described below we meta-analysed GWAS results from the International Handedness Consortium, UK Biobank and 23andMe. Informed consent was provided by all participants. The research was approved by the research ethic committee of each of the individual studies.

Genome-wide association in UK Biobank

UK Biobank is a large long-term biobank study from the United Kingdom that aims to identify the contribution of genetic and environmental factors to disease. Detailed information on phenotyping and genotyping is presented elsewhere⁵⁵. In brief, the UK Biobank recruited 502,647 individuals aged 37-76 across the country and gathered information regarding their health and lifestyle, including handedness via questionnaires. Genotype data from the UK Biobank is available for 487,411 participants. Genotypes were imputed by UK Biobank against the UK10K reference panel using IMPUTE 2⁵⁶. In addition to the quality control metrics performed centrally by UK Biobank⁵⁵, we defined a set of participants of European ancestry by clustering the first two principal components (PCs) derived from the genotype data. Using a K-means algorithm with K=4, we identified a group of 463,023 individuals of European ancestry. From this group, 462,182 individuals (250,767 females) provided self-reported data on handedness. In total 410,677 participants identified themselves as right-handed, 43,859 as left-handed and 7,646 as ambidextrous. The mean birth year of the participants was 1951 (*s.d.* = 8.04).

We tested 11,498,822 autosomal and X chromosome SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF>0.005) and info score >0.4 for association with handedness using BOLT-LMM which implements a linear mixed model to account for cryptic relatedness and population structure. Sex and age were included as covariates in all models. We performed four analyses 1) right- vs left-handed; 2) right vs ambidextrous; 3) right- vs left-handed (male only); and 4) right- vs left-handed (female only). Analyses of X chromosome genotypes were performed in BOLT-LMM fitting sex as a covariate and coding the male genotypes as 0/2.

Genome-wide association in 23andMe

All individuals included in the analyses were research participants of the personal genetics company 23andMe, Inc., a private company, whose phenotypes, including self-reported handedness, were collected via online surveys. DNA extraction and genotyping were performed on saliva samples by the National Genetics Institute (NGI), a CLIA licensed clinical laboratory and a subsidiary of Laboratory Corporation of America. Samples were genotyped on one of five genotyping platforms. The v1 and v2 platforms were variants of the Illumina HumanHap550 + BeadChip, including about 25,000 custom SNPs selected by 23andMe, with

a total of about 560,000 SNPs. The v3 platform was based on the Illumina OmniExpress+ BeadChip, with custom content to improve the overlap with the v2 array, with a total of about 950,000 SNPs. The v4 platform was a fully customized array, including a lower redundancy subset of v2 and v3 SNPs with additional coverage of lower-frequency coding variation, and about 570,000 SNPs. The v5 platform was an Illumina Infinium Global Screening Array (~640,000 SNPs) supplemented with ~50,000 SNPs of custom content. Samples that failed to reach 98.5% call rate were re-analysed. Individuals whose analyses failed repeatedly were re-contacted by 23andMe customer service to provide additional samples.

For our standard GWAS, we restricted participants to a set of individuals who have a specified ancestry (predominantly European ancestry) determined through an analysis of local ancestry⁵⁷. A maximal set of unrelated individuals was chosen for each analysis using a segmental identity-by-descent (IBD) estimation algorithm⁵⁸. Individuals were defined as related if they shared more than 700 cM IBD, including regions where the two individuals share either one or both genomic segments IBD. This level of relatedness (roughly 20% of the genome) corresponds approximately to the minimal expected sharing between first cousins in an outbred population. In total, 1,012,146 individuals included in the analysis identified themselves as right-handed, 136,740 as left-handed and 29,991 as ambidextrous. The mean birth year of the participants was 1972.

We used Minimac3 to impute genotype data against a reference panel comprised of the May 2015 release of the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 haplotypes⁵⁹ and the UK10K imputation reference panel⁶⁰. We computed associations by logistic regression assuming additive allelic effects. We used the imputed dosages rather than best-guess genotypes and included covariates for age, sex, the top five principal components to account for residual population structure, and indicators for genotype platforms to account for genotype batch effects. For associations on the X chromosome, male genotypes were coded as if they were homozygous diploid for the observed allele. For QC of the GWAS results, we removed SNPs with $r^2 < 0.3$, $MAF < 0.005$ and available sample size $< 20\%$ of the total sample, as well as SNPs that had strong evidence of a platform batch effect. We also flagged logistic regression results that did not converge due to complete separation, identified by $abs(effect) > 10$ or $s.e. > 10$ on the log odds scale. Two analyses were performed 1) right- vs left-handed; and 2) right vs ambidextrous.

International handedness consortium

The International Handedness Consortium (IHC) is a large-scale collaboration between 32 cohorts ($N=125,612$) with existing genome-wide association (GWAS) data to identify common genetic variants influencing handedness. Across all studies, the phenotype was collected by questionnaire by asking either which *hand was used for writing* or for *self-declared handedness*. As these two measures are highly (~95%) concordant, not all studies reported on ambidexterity, and around 1-2% of participants reported being able to write with both hands^{12,61} both left-handed and ambidextrous individuals were classified as cases where this information was available. All cohorts were population samples with respect to handedness, thus combining the data from the 32 studies yielded 13,599 left-handed and 112,013 right-handed individuals [Supplementary Table 1].

All individuals were of self-declared European ancestry (confirmed by genotypic PCA in each cohort). Within each cohort, the genotypic data were imputed to Phase I and II combined

HapMap CEU samples (build 36 release 22) with the exception of the Finnish Twin Cohort study, Health Professionals Follow-Up Study and Nurses' Health Study HPFS/NHS, Netherlands Twin Registry (NTR), and TOP cohorts which were imputed to 1000G phase 3 V5 European population. Within each sample, genome-wide association analyses were conducted for both genotyped and imputed SNPs. The imputed genotypes were analysed using the dosage of an assumed effect allele under an additive model with covariates for year of birth and sex. Supplementary Table 21 show the imputation and analysis software used in each of the cohorts.

To examine any potential impacts of including ambidextrous individuals as cases in the IHC, we ran GWAS in the UKBB and 23andMe samples using both phenotypic definitions (i. left vs right and ii. right vs left + ambidextrous) and computed the genetic correlations between the two analyses. The genetic correlations ($r_G^{23andMe}=.95$ s.e.=0.003; $r_G^{UKBB}=.98$ s.e.=0.006) suggest only a minor impact of the inclusion of ambidextrous individuals as cases on the GWAS results.

Meta-analysis of IHC, UK Biobank and 23andMe

A weighted Z-score meta-analysis was conducted with the METAL software⁶² using the summary GWAS statistics from each of the 32 IHC cohorts, UK Biobank and 23andMe. Given the large discrepancies between the number of cases and controls, we elected to weight each sample by the effective sample size for binary traits, defined as $N_{eff}=4/(1/N_{cases}+1/N_{controls})$.

Prior to meta-analysis, quality control thresholds were applied to each of the GWAS results from the individual studies ($r^2 \geq 0.3$, $MAF \geq 0.005$, $P_{HWE} \geq 1 \times 10^{-5}$). We also removed genetic variants for which the frequency substantially differed from one of the Haplotype Reference Consortium panels (frequency difference > 0.2). We used EasyQC⁶³ to identify SNPs that had allele frequencies which differed substantially from the Haplotype Reference Consortium. In total, up to 13,346,399 SNPs remained for the left-handedness meta-analysis. For the ambidexterity meta-analysis, only 23andMe and the UK Biobank were used. This meta-analysis included up to 12,493,443 SNPs.

Tissue expression and pathway analyses

Tissue expression and pathway analyses were performed using DEPICT (v. 1 rel. 194)²⁵ implemented in the Complex-Traits Genetics Virtual Lab (CTG-VL) (beta 0.1)³⁸ and MAGMA²⁶ implemented in the Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations (FUMA) web application⁶⁴ (accessed on 20th March 2020). DEPICT assesses whether genes in associated loci are highly expressed in any of the 209 Medical Subject Heading (MeSH) tissue and cell type annotations based on RNA-seq data from the GTEx project³². Molecular pathways were constructed based on 14,461 gene sets from diverse database and data types, including Gene ontology, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) and REACTOME. As input for DEPICT, we used independent SNPs (based on clumping with an r^2 (LD) between SNPs < 0.05 and 2Mb windows) with a P-value $< 1 \times 10^{-5}$. MAGMA analyses were performed with FUMA's default options using data 1000 Genomes phase 3 for LD reference and GTEx v8⁶⁵ for the tissues enrichment analysis. Enrichment analyses were performed for 15,483 pathways from

MsigDB v7.0⁶⁶ and 54 tissues from GTEx. Associations with Benjamini-Hochberg's FDR < 5% are reported for both analyses.

Gene-based association analyses

Gene-based association analyses were carried out using S-MultiXcan²⁷ and Summary-data-based Mendelian Randomization (SMR) method²⁷ implemented in the CTG-VL (beta 0.1)³⁸. S-MultiXcan conducts a test of association between phenotypes and gene expression levels predicted by data derived from the GTEx project⁶⁵. In this study, we performed S-MultiXcan using prediction models of all the brain tissues available from the GTEx project. This included amygdala, anterior cingulate cortex BA24, caudate basal ganglia, cerebellar hemisphere, cerebellum, brain cortex, frontal cortex BA9, hippocampus, hypothalamus, nucleus accumbens basal ganglia, putamen basal ganglia, spinal cord cervical c-1, substantia nigra. As a total of 14,501 genes expressed in different brain tissues were tested, the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold was set at $P = 3.44 \times 10^{-6}$.

SMR conducts a test for pleiotropic association between the expression level of a gene and a complex trait using eQTL data and GWAS summary statistics. SMR uses a heterogeneity in dependent instruments (HEIDI) test to distinguish pleiotropy from linkage. A rejection ($P < 0.05$) of the null hypothesis (pleiotropy) indicates that the association of the SNP with gene expression and the trait of interest is likely due to linkage of that SNP with two distinct causal SNPs (one for the gene expression and one for the trait). In this study, we performed SMR using eQTL data derived from a meta-analysis of brain tissues' eQTL data (Brain-eMeta)³¹ that included GTEx⁶⁵, CMC³³ and ROSEMAP³⁴. In addition to this, we carried out SMR analysis using PsychENCODE eQTL summary data from Want et al.²⁹ and Gandal et al.³⁰. For each of the analyses, we report associations below a Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold based on number of genes tested that was up to 11,013 genes (i.e. $P < 4.54 \times 10^{-6}$).

Genetic correlations

In order to test whether handedness shares a genetic background with other complex traits with GWAS summary data available, we used CTG-VL³⁸ which implements LD score regression and contains a large database of summary GWAS statistics. In total, we assessed the genetic correlation of left-handedness and ambidexterity with 1,349 different traits. As many of the 1,349 traits tested are correlated between each other, hence not considered independent tests, we adopted Benjamini-Hochberg FDR < 5% to account for multiple testing.

Heritability estimates

In order to estimate the proportion of phenotypic variance explained by SNPs we used two statistical methods. Restricted Maximum Likelihood (REML), implemented in BOLT-LMM was used to estimate the variance explained by additive effects of genotyped SNPs (h^2_g)⁶⁷. Using a prevalence estimate of 10%, the observed h^2_g was transformed to SNP heritability on an unobserved continuous liability scale⁶⁸. LD-score regression was used to estimate the variance explained by all the SNPs using the GWAS summary statistics. Similarly to REML, the observed h^2_g was transformed to the liability scale using a prevalence estimate of 10%.

In order to estimate the narrow-sense heritability we fit a variance components model to estimate the proportion of phenotypic variance attributable to additive genetic effects (A), shared environmental effects (C), and environmental effects (E)³⁷. We modelled the genetic sharing between close relative pairs using identity by descent (IBD) information (as calculated by the KING software (v.2.1.6)⁶⁹) on 20,277 sibling pairs ($0.65 > \text{IBD} > 0.35$) and 49,788 relative pairs with $0.3 > \text{IBD} > 0.8$ from the UK Biobank study to estimate trait heritability. In the model, we also estimated a variance component due to a shared environment (siblings only) that made siblings potentially more similar in terms of handedness, and a unique environmental component, that did not contribute to similarity between relative pairs. Variance components were estimated using Maximum Likelihood using the OpenMx package⁷⁰.

Data availability

The code used to perform the meta-analyses will become available upon publication on GitHub. GWAS summary statistics of the Meta-analysis of UK Biobank, IHC and 23andMe data for the top 10,000 independent SNPs will be available upon publication as well as summary statistics of the Meta-analysis between UK Biobank and IHC for all the SNPs. Access to the full summary statistics from the 23andMe sample (for all SNPs) can be obtained by qualified researchers through a data transfer agreement with 23andMe. Please contact 23andMe in <https://research.23andme.com/dataset-access> for more information.

References

1. Hepper, P.G., McCartney, G.R. & Shannon, E.A. Lateralised behaviour in first trimester human fetuses. *Neuropsychologia* **36**, 521-534 (1998).
2. Parma, V., Brasselet, R., Zoia, S., Bulgheroni, M. & Castiello, U. The origin of human handedness and its role in pre-birth motor control. *Sci Rep* **7**, 16804 (2017).
3. Lazenby, R. Skeletal biology, functional asymmetry and the origins of "Handedness". *J Theor Biol* **218**, 129-38 (2002).
4. Gumustekin, K. *et al.* Handedness and bilateral femoral bone densities in men and women. *Int J Neurosci* **114**, 1533-47 (2004).
5. Steele, J. & Mays, S. Handedness and directional asymmetry in the long bones of the human upper limb. *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology* **5**, 39-49 (1995).
6. Knecht, S. *et al.* Handedness and hemispheric language dominance in healthy humans. *Brain* **123 Pt 12**, 2512-8 (2000).
7. Pujol, J., Deus, J., Losilla, J.M. & Capdevila, A. Cerebral lateralization of language in normal left-handed people studied by functional MRI. *Neurology* **52**, 1038-43 (1999).
8. Papadatou-Pastou M., Marcus M.M, Ntolka M.E., Ocklenburg S. & S., P. The prevalence of left-handedness: Five meta-analyses of 200 studies totaling 2,396,170 individuals. *psyarxiv* (2019).
9. Papadatou-Pastou, M., Martin, M., Munafo, M.R. & Jones, G.V. Sex differences in left-handedness: a meta-analysis of 144 studies. *Psychol Bull* **134**, 677-699 (2008).
10. Annett, M. *Left, Right, Hand and Brain: the Right Shift Theory*, (Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, London, 1985).
11. McManus, I.C. Handedness, language dominance and aphasia: A genetic model. *Psychological Medicine Monographs Supplement* **8**, 1-140 (1985).

12. Medland, S.E., Duffy, D.L., Wright, M.J., Geffen, G.M. & Martin, N.G. Handedness in twins: joint analysis of data from 35 samples. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **9**, 46-53 (2006).
13. Francks, C. *et al.* A genomewide linkage screen for relative hand skill in sibling pairs. *American Journal of Human Genetics* **70**, 800-805 (2002).
14. Van Agtmael, T., Forrest, S.M., Del-Favero, J., Van Broeckhoven, C. & Williamson, R. Parametric and nonparametric genome scan analyses for human handedness. *Eur J Hum Genet* **11**, 779-83 (2003).
15. Warren, D.M., Stern, M., Duggirala, R., Dyer, T.D. & Almasy, L. Heritability and linkage analysis of hand, foot, and eye preference in Mexican Americans. *Laterality* **11**, 508-24 (2006).
16. Somers, M. *et al.* Linkage analysis in a Dutch population isolate shows no major gene for left-handedness or atypical language lateralization. *J Neurosci* **35**, 8730-6 (2015).
17. Medland, S.E. *et al.* Opposite effects of androgen receptor CAG repeat length on increased risk of left-handedness in males and females. *Behavior Genetics* **35**, 735-744 (2005).
18. Francks, C. *et al.* LRRTM1 on chromosome 2p12 is a maternally suppressed gene that is associated paternally with handedness and schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* **12**, 1129-39, 1057 (2007).
19. Scerri, T.S. *et al.* PCSK6 is associated with handedness in individuals with dyslexia. *Hum Mol Genet* **20**, 608-14 (2011).
20. de Kovel, C.G.F. & Francks, C. The molecular genetics of hand preference revisited. *Sci Rep* **9**, 5986 (2019).
21. Wiberg, A. *et al.* Handedness, language areas and neuropsychiatric diseases: insights from brain imaging and genetics. *Brain* (2019).
22. Bulik-Sullivan, B. *et al.* An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. *Nat Genet* **47**, 1236-41 (2015).
23. Bulik-Sullivan, B.K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* **47**, 291-5 (2015).
24. de Jong, S. *et al.* Common inversion polymorphism at 17q21.31 affects expression of multiple genes in tissue-specific manner. *BMC Genomics* **13**, 458 (2012).
25. Pers, T.H. *et al.* Biological interpretation of genome-wide association studies using predicted gene functions. *Nat Commun* **6**, 5890 (2015).
26. de Leeuw, C.A., Mooij, J.M., Heskes, T. & Posthuma, D. MAGMA: generalized gene-set analysis of GWAS data. *PLoS Comput Biol* **11**, e1004219 (2015).
27. Barbeira, A.N. *et al.* Integrating predicted transcriptome from multiple tissues improves association detection. *PLoS Genet* **15**, e1007889 (2019).
28. UniProt, C. The universal protein resource (UniProt). *Nucleic Acids Res* **36**, D190-5 (2008).
29. Wang, D. *et al.* Comprehensive functional genomic resource and integrative model for the human brain. *Science* **362**(2018).
30. Gandal, M.J. *et al.* Transcriptome-wide isoform-level dysregulation in ASD, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder. *Science* **362**(2018).
31. Qi, T. *et al.* Identifying gene targets for brain-related traits using transcriptomic and methylomic data from blood. *Nat Commun* **9**, 2282 (2018).
32. GTEx Consortium. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat Genet* **45**, 580-5 (2013).

33. Fromer, M. *et al.* Gene expression elucidates functional impact of polygenic risk for schizophrenia. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 1442-1453 (2016).
34. Ng, B. *et al.* An xQTL map integrates the genetic architecture of the human brain's transcriptome and epigenome. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 1418-1426 (2017).
35. Sun, T. *et al.* Early asymmetry of gene transcription in embryonic human left and right cerebral cortex. *Science* **308**, 1794-8 (2005).
36. Ocklenburg, S. *et al.* Epigenetic regulation of lateralized fetal spinal gene expression underlies hemispheric asymmetries. *Elife* **6**(2017).
37. Visscher, P.M. *et al.* Assumption-free estimation of heritability from genome-wide identity-by-descent sharing between full siblings. *PLoS Genet* **2**, e41 (2006).
38. Gabriel Cuellar-Partida, M.L., Pik Fang Kho, Shannon D'Urso, Luis F. Gutierrez-Mondragon, Liang-Dar Hwang. Complex-Traits Genetics Virtual Lab: A community-driven web platform for post-GWAS analyses. *bioRxiv* (2019).
39. Vuoksima, E., Koskenvuo, M., Rose, R.J. & Kaprio, J. Origins of handedness: a nationwide study of 30,161 adults. *Neuropsychologia* **47**, 1294-301 (2009).
40. Kapitein, L.C. & Hoogenraad, C.C. Building the Neuronal Microtubule Cytoskeleton. *Neuron* **87**, 492-506 (2015).
41. Lasser, M., Tiber, J. & Lowery, L.A. The Role of the Microtubule Cytoskeleton in Neurodevelopmental Disorders. *Front Cell Neurosci* **12**, 165 (2018).
42. Hirnstein, M. & Hugdahl, K. Excess of non-right-handedness in schizophrenia: meta-analysis of gender effects and potential biases in handedness assessment. *Br J Psychiatry* **205**, 260-7 (2014).
43. Preslar, J., Kushner, H.I., Marino, L. & Pearce, B. Autism, lateralisation, and handedness: a review of the literature and meta-analysis. *Laterality* **19**, 64-95 (2014).
44. Penazzi, L., Bakota, L. & Brandt, R. Microtubule Dynamics in Neuronal Development, Plasticity, and Neurodegeneration. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol* **321**, 89-169 (2016).
45. Chang, Q., Yang, H., Wang, M., Wei, H. & Hu, F. Role of Microtubule-Associated Protein in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Neurosci Bull* **34**, 1119-1126 (2018).
46. Marchisella, F., Coffey, E.T. & Hollos, P. Microtubule and microtubule associated protein anomalies in psychiatric disease. *Cytoskeleton (Hoboken)* **73**, 596-611 (2016).
47. Ahn, K. *et al.* High rate of disease-related copy number variations in childhood onset schizophrenia. *Mol Psychiatry* **19**, 568-72 (2014).
48. Cantor, R.M. *et al.* Replication of autism linkage: fine-mapping peak at 17q21. *Am J Hum Genet* **76**, 1050-6 (2005).
49. Yonan, A.L. *et al.* A genomewide screen of 345 families for autism-susceptibility loci. *Am J Hum Genet* **73**, 886-97 (2003).
50. Trampush, J.W. *et al.* GWAS meta-analysis reveals novel loci and genetic correlates for general cognitive function: a report from the COGENT consortium. *Mol Psychiatry* **22**, 1651-1652 (2017).
51. Hill, W.D. *et al.* A combined analysis of genetically correlated traits identifies 187 loci and a role for neurogenesis and myelination in intelligence. *Mol Psychiatry* (2018).
52. Simon-Sanchez, J. *et al.* Genome-wide association study reveals genetic risk underlying Parkinson's disease. *Nat Genet* **41**, 1308-12 (2009).
53. Dragovic, M. & Hammond, G. Handedness in schizophrenia: a quantitative review of evidence. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* **111**, 410-9 (2005).

54. Ravichandran, C., Shinn, A.K., Ongur, D., Perlis, R.H. & Cohen, B. Frequency of non-right-handedness in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res* **253**, 267-269 (2017).
55. Sudlow, C. *et al.* UK biobank: an open access resource for identifying the causes of a wide range of complex diseases of middle and old age. *PLoS Med* **12**, e1001779 (2015).
56. Howie, B., Fuchsberger, C., Stephens, M., Marchini, J. & Abecasis, G.R. Fast and accurate genotype imputation in genome-wide association studies through pre-phasing. *Nat Genet* **44**, 955-9 (2012).
57. Eric Y. Durand, C.B.D., Joanna L. Mountain, J. Michael Macpherson. Ancestry Composition: A Novel, Efficient Pipeline for Ancestry Deconvolution. *bioRxiv* (2014).
58. Henn, B.M. *et al.* Cryptic distant relatives are common in both isolated and cosmopolitan genetic samples. *PLoS One* **7**, e34267 (2012).
59. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68-74 (2015).
60. UKK, C. *et al.* The UK10K project identifies rare variants in health and disease. *Nature* **526**, 82-90 (2015).
61. Perelle, I.B. & Ehrman, L. An international study of human handedness: the data. *Behav Genet* **24**, 217-27 (1994).
62. Willer, C.J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G.R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2190-1 (2010).
63. Winkler, T.W. *et al.* Quality control and conduct of genome-wide association meta-analyses. *Nat Protoc* **9**, 1192-212 (2014).
64. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nat Commun* **8**, 1826 (2017).
65. Consortium, G.T. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. *Nat Genet* **45**, 580-5 (2013).
66. Liberzon, A. *et al.* Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) 3.0. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1739-40 (2011).
67. Loh, P.R. *et al.* Efficient Bayesian mixed-model analysis increases association power in large cohorts. *Nat Genet* **47**, 284-90 (2015).
68. Lee, S.H., Wray, N.R., Goddard, M.E. & Visscher, P.M. Estimating missing heritability for disease from genome-wide association studies. *Am J Hum Genet* **88**, 294-305 (2011).
69. Manichaikul, A. *et al.* Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2867-73 (2010).
70. Neale, M.C. *et al.* OpenMx 2.0: Extended Structural Equation and Statistical Modeling. *Psychometrika* **81**, 535-49 (2016).

Acknowledgements

This research was conducted using the UK Biobank Resource (application number 12703). Access to the UK Biobank study data was funded by the University of Queensland (Early Career Researcher Grant 2014002959 to N.M.W). DME is funded by a National Health and Medical Research Council Senior Research Fellowship (APP1137714). GCP is funded by an Australia Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (DE180100976). CML is supported by the Li Ka Shing Foundation, WT-SSI/John Fell funds and by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, by Widenlife and NIH (5P50HD028138-27). MMcC funding support for this work comes from Wellcome (090532, 106130, 098381, 203141, 212259, 095101, 200837, 099673/Z/12/Z) and NIHR (NF-SI-0617-10090). NMW is supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council Early Career Fellowship (APP1104818). SEM was funded by an NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship (APP1103623). IB is funded by Wellcome (WT206194).

We thank the research participants of 23andMe for making this study possible. Members of the 23andMe Research Team are: Michelle Agee, Adam Auton, Robert K. Bell, Katarzyna Bryc, Sarah L. Elson, Pierre Fontanillas, Nicholas A. Furlotte, Barry Hicks, Karen E. Huber, Ethan M. Jewett, Yunxuan Jiang, Aaron Kleinman, Keng-Han Lin, Nadia K. Litterman, Matthew H. McIntyre, Kimberly F. McManus, Joanna L. Mountain, Elizabeth S. Noblin, Carrie A.M. Northover, Steven J. Pitts, G. David Poznik, J. Fah Sathirapongsasuti, Janie F. Shelton, Suyash Shringarpure, Chao Tian, Vladimir Vacic, Xin Wang, Catherine H. Wilson.

We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in the ALSPAC study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses. The UK Medical Research Council and Wellcome Trust (Grant Ref: 102215/2/13/2) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. GWAS data was generated by Sample Logistics and Genotyping Facilities at Wellcome Sanger Institute and LabCorp (Laboratory Corporation of America) using support from 23andMe.

The Netherlands Twin Register acknowledges funding from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific research (NWO), including NWO-Grant 480-15-001/674: Netherlands Twin Registry Repository and the Biobanking and Biomolecular Resources Research Infrastructure (BBMRI –NL, 184.021.007 and 184.033.111); KNAW Academy Professor Award (PAH/6635 to DIB); Amsterdam Public Health (APH) and Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam (NCA); European Community 7th Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013): ENGAGE (HEALTH-F4-2007-201413) and ACTION (9602768). The Rutgers University Cell and DNA Repository cooperative agreement (NIMH U24 MH068457-06); Collaborative study of the genetics of DZ twinning (NIH R01D0042157-01A1); Developmental Study of Attention Problems in Young Twins (NIMH, RO1 MH58799-03); Major depression: stage 1 genome-wide association in population-based samples (MH081802); Determinants of Adolescent Exercise Behavior (NIDDK R01 DK092127-04); Grand Opportunity grants Integration of genomics and transcriptomics (NIMH 1RC2MH089951-01) and Developmental trajectories of

psychopathology (NIMH 1RC2 MH089995); and the Avera Institute for Human Genetics, Sioux Falls, South Dakota (USA).

The generation and management of GWAS genotype data for the Rotterdam Study (RS I, RS II, RS III) was executed by the Human Genotyping Facility of the Genetic Laboratory of the Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. The GWAS datasets are supported by the Netherlands Organisation of Scientific Research NWO Investments (nr. 175.010.2005.011, 911-03-012), the Genetic Laboratory of the Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (014-93-015; RIDE2), the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI)/Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) Netherlands Consortium for Healthy Aging (NCHA), project nr. 050-060-810. We thank Pascal Arp, Mila Jhamai, Marijn Verkerk, Lizbeth Herrera and Marjolein Peters, MSc, and Carolina Medina-Gomez, MSc, for their help in creating the GWAS database, and Karol Estrada, PhD, Yurii Aulchenko, PhD, and Carolina Medina-Gomez, PhD, for the creation and analysis of imputed data. We would like to thank Dr. Karol Estrada, Dr. Fernando Rivadeneira, Dr. Tobias A. Knoch, Marijn Verkerk, Anis Abuseiris, The Rotterdam Study is funded by Erasmus Medical Center and Erasmus University, Rotterdam, Netherlands Organization for the Health Research and Development (ZonMw), the Research Institute for Diseases in the Elderly (RIDE), the Ministry of Education, Culture and Science, the Ministry for Health, Welfare and Sports, the European Commission (DG XII), and the Municipality of Rotterdam. The authors are very grateful to the study participants, the staff from the Rotterdam Study (particularly L. Buist and J.H. van den Boogert) and the participating general practitioners and pharmacists.

We thank all study participants as well as everybody involved in the Helsinki Birth Cohort Study (HBCS). Helsinki Birth Cohort Study has been supported by grants from the Academy of Finland, the Finnish Diabetes Research Society, Folkhälsan Research Foundation, Novo Nordisk Foundation, Finska Läkaresällskapet, Juho Vainio Foundation, Signe and Ane Gyllenberg Foundation, University of Helsinki, Ministry of Education, Ahokas Foundation, Emil Aaltonen Foundation.

In addition, we thank the participants and staff of the Nurses' Health Study and the Health Professionals Follow-up Study, for their valuable contributions and Channing Division of Network Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA. This work was supported in part by NIH R01 CA49449, P01 CA87969, UM1 CA186107, and UM1 CA167552.

The KORA study was initiated and financed by the Helmholtz Zentrum München—German Research Center for Environmental Health, which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and by the State of Bavaria. Furthermore, KORA research was supported within the Munich Center of Health Sciences (MC-Health), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, as part of LMUinnovativ.

We also thank funding for the ENGAGE (European Network for Genetic and Genomic Epidemiology) Consortium by the European Commission (FP7-HEALTH-F4-2007 (201413)).

Finally, we thank the participants of all the cohorts for their valuable contribution.

This publication is the work of the authors and SEM and DME will serve as guarantor for the contents of this paper.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Study design: C.M.L, R.M, B.M.N, D.M.E, S.E.M; Manuscript preparation: G.C-P, C.M.L, R.M, B.M.N, D.M.E, S.E.M, Meta-analysis and downstream analyses: G.C-P; Individual study design, analysis or data collection: N.E, D.A.H, J.Y.T (23&ME); P.D, W.L.MA, L. Paternoster, S.M.R, G.D.S, B.S P, N.J.T (ALSPAC); D.M.E, J.P.K (ALSPAC, UKBB); F.A, D.M.D (COGA); J.S.B, Z.K, P.M-V, V.M, P.V, G. Waeber, D.W (COLAUS); C.H, J.E.H, O.P (CROATIA-Korcula); H.C, I.R, A.F.W (CROATIA-Vis); O.A.A, M.PM.B, I.G, T.F.H, A.M.H, B.K, S.H.M, R.A.O, D.R, K.S, H.S, S.S, G.T, T.W (DECODE); H.A.B, B.F, F.G, M. Melbye (DNBC); T.E, R.M, A.M, L.M, M.N, M.T-L (EGCUT); M.MB.B (EMC); I.B, K-T.K, R.JF.L, N.J.W, J.H.Z (EPIC); J.N.H, C.P (FRAMINGHAM); K.H, J.K, T.P, E.V (FTC); J.G.E, J.L, K.R, E.W (HBCS); E.A, A.D, C.G, N.K, H.-E.W (KORA); G.D, I.J.D, M.L, J.M.S (LBC); A.A.H, S.A.M, P.P.P (MICROS); B.WJH.P, J.H.S (NESDA); C.M.L, M.I.MC, A.P, L. Peltonen, I.P, S.R (NFBC66); J.H, P.K, X.L, M.X (NHS/HPFS); D.I.B, E.JC. G, J-J.H, J.M.V, G. Willemssen (NTR); D.L.D, S.D.G, N.G.M, S.E.M, D.R.N, M.J.W (QIMR); M.A.I, C.M-G, F.R, A.G.U, C.M.D, F.JA.R (RS); J.W.S (STEP); K.S.O'C (TOP); G.C P, L-D.H, N.W (UKBB); L.F.C, M. Mangino, N.S, T.D.S (UK TWIN); D.I.C, G.P, W.L.MA, B.M.N; Manuscript Review: All the authors reviewed the manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement

GC-P, NE, DH, JT, and members of the 23andMe Research Team are employees of 23andMe, Inc., and hold stock or stock options in 23andMe. SHM, KS, HS, SS and GT are employees of deCODE Genetics/Amgen.

MMcC is a Wellcome Senior Investigator and an NIHR Senior Investigator. The views expressed in this article are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health. He has served on advisory panels for Pfizer, NovoNordisk, Zoe Global; has received honoraria from Merck, Pfizer, NovoNordisk and Eli Lilly; has stock options in Zoe Global; has received research funding from Abbvie, Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Merck, NovoNordisk, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi Aventis, Servier, Takeda. As of June 2019, he is an employee of Genentech, and holder of Roche stock.

All other authors report no conflicts of interest.

The 23andMe summary statistics used in this study will be made available to qualified researchers who enter into a data transfer agreement with 23andMe that protects participant privacy. Interested investigators should visit research.23andme.com/dataset-access to submit a request.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Manhattan plot of left-handedness Meta-analysis. Manhattan plots for the left-handedness GWAS meta-analysis (N = 1,534,836 right-handed vs 194,198 left-handed). The x axis shows the chromosomal position; y axis shows the GWAS P value on a $-\log_{10}$ scale; each dot represents a SNP. The red dotted line highlights the genome-wide levels of significance threshold ($P < 5e-8$); blue dotted line shows the threshold for suggestive associations.

Figure 2. Manhattan plot of ambidexterity Meta-analysis. Manhattan plots for the ambidexterity GWAS meta-analysis (N = 1,422,823 right-handed vs 37,637 ambidextrous). The x axis shows the chromosomal position; y axis shows the GWAS P value on a $-\log_{10}$ scale; each dot represents a SNP. The red dotted line highlights the genome-wide levels of significance threshold ($P < 5e-8$); blue dotted line shows the threshold for suggestive associations.

Tables

Table 1. Loci associated with left-handedness after meta-analysis of 23andMe, UK Biobank and IHC.

CHR	BP	SNP	Gene	EA	NEA	EAF	Z	OR*	P	Direction
1	44172458	rs34550543	ST3GAL3	T	C	0.41	6.42	1.02	1.4E-10	+++
1	160398240	rs66513715	VANGL2	D	I	0.20	-5.46	0.97	4.77E-08	-??
1	169112399	rs10081960	NME7	C	G	0.60	-5.93	0.98	2.96E-09	---
2	48624007	rs4953572	FOXN2	A	G	0.66	6.70	1.02	2.11E-11	+++
2	109954066	rs4676276	SH3RF3	A	C	0.52	5.72	1.02	1.06E-08	+++
2	187522750	rs13006483	ITGAV	T	G	0.28	6.59	1.03	4.51E-11	+++
2	210300731	rs62213410	MAP2	A	T	0.71	-11.45	0.96	2.37E-30	---
3	18167162	rs1398651	SATB1	A	T	0.56	5.49	1.02	4.11E-08	+++
3	74246260	rs201072423	CNTN3	D	I	0.51	5.53	1.02	3.17E-08	+++
3	77574555	rs62251113	ROBO2	A	C	0.37	5.45	1.02	4.94E-08	+++
3	158017859	rs1526194	RSRC1	T	C	0.58	-6.65	0.98	3.02E-11	---
4	89910701	rs28658282:T	FAM13A	T	C	0.10	-6.20	0.96	5.77E-10	-??
4	103188709	rs13107325	SLC39A8	T	C	0.08	7.54	1.06	4.62E-14	+++
5	71890187	rs246628	LINC02056	C	G	0.41	5.72	1.02	1.06E-08	+++
5	87825490	rs2194028	TMEM161B-AS1	T	C	0.34	6.59	1.02	4.52E-11	+++
5	114471109	rs1422070	TRIM36	A	C	0.60	-6.65	0.98	2.85E-11	---
6	3143866	rs35551703	BPHL	A	G	0.04	-7.04	0.94	1.93E-12	---
6	26599509	rs45527431	ABT1	A	G	0.91	5.86	1.04	4.63E-09	++?
6	30688427	rs3132584	TUBB	T	G	0.21	-10.31	0.95	6.12E-25	---
6	127643791	rs148342778:GTA	ECHDC1	D	I	0.65	-5.68	0.97	1.32E-08	-??
7	127268806	rs806188	PAX4	T	C	0.32	6.20	1.02	5.65E-10	+++
8	134274226	rs2233324	NDRG1	C	G	0.16	-7.66	0.96	1.86E-14	---
10	124992505	rs12414988	BUB3	A	G	0.21	5.46	1.02	4.75E-08	+++
11	16474017	rs1000565	SOX6	A	G	0.60	5.65	1.02	1.56E-08	+++
11	66173400	rs11227478	NPAS4	A	G	0.21	-6.00	0.98	1.97E-09	---
11	77531890	rs11820337	RSF1	T	C	0.35	6.27	1.02	3.64E-10	+++
11	115081563	rs9645660	CADM1	T	C	0.52	-6.51	0.98	7.43E-11	---
12	49539892	rs11168884	TUBA1B	T	C	0.34	-6.37	0.98	1.96E-10	---
12	100324975	rs7132513:G	ANKS1B	C	G	0.61	6.70	1.03	2.1E-11	++?
13	27294638	rs9581731	WASF3	T	C	0.71	-6.05	0.98	1.49E-09	---
14	29628115	rs8016028	AL133166.1	T	C	0.81	-6.37	0.97	1.92E-10	---
14	48430794	rs8012503	LINC00648	C	G	0.88	5.48	1.03	4.27E-08	+++
15	91423543	rs6224	FURIN	T	G	0.47	-9.39	0.97	6.16E-21	---
16	28828834	rs62036618	ATXN2L	A	C	0.61	-7.39	0.98	1.43E-13	--?
16	69224615	rs1424114	SNTB2	T	C	0.35	-5.48	0.98	4.21E-08	---
16	89991599	rs4550447	TUBB3	C	G	0.12	10.12	1.06	4.67E-24	+++
17	43757450	rs55974014	CRHR1	A	C	0.21	-11.43	0.95	2.97E-30	---
19	6499231	rs66479618	TUBB4A	T	C	0.20	-6.87	0.97	6.48E-12	--+
19	42439263	rs112737242	RABAC1	D	I	0.35	-7.80	0.97	6.4E-15	--?
22	23663848	rs4822384	BCR	T	G	0.39	-5.63	0.98	1.82E-08	---
22	28628209	rs5762532	TTC28	T	C	0.59	-5.88	0.98	4.04E-09	---

CHR: Chromosome; BP: Base pair positions based on the GRCh37/hg19 human genome assembly; EA: Effect allele; NEA: Non-effect allele; EAF: Effect allele frequency; Z: Z-statistic; P-value: Meta-analysis p-value. Direction of effects are shown in the following order: 23andMe, UK Biobank and IHC. *OR correspond to that one derived from 23andMe results. Where the SNP is missing in a cohort “?” is indicated in direction.

Table 2. Results of the tissue enrichment analysis for left-handedness (DEPICT). Only results with FDR < 5% are shown.

Tissue	Group	P-value
Corpus Striatum	Nervous System	0.00000673
Basal Ganglia	Nervous System	0.0000148
Hippocampus	Nervous System	0.0000227
Central Nervous System	Nervous System	0.0000327
Brain	Nervous System	0.0000357
Telencephalon	Nervous System	0.0000398
Parahippocampal Gyrus	Nervous System	0.0000414
Entorhinal Cortex	Nervous System	0.0000414
Limbic System	Nervous System	0.0000423
Cerebrum	Nervous System	0.0000427
Prosencephalon	Nervous System	0.0000487
Temporal Lobe	Nervous System	0.0000587
Cerebral Cortex	Nervous System	0.0000668
Parietal Lobe	Nervous System	0.000305
Mesencephalon	Nervous System	0.000843
Occipital Lobe	Nervous System	0.00131
Visual Cortex	Nervous System	0.00156
Brain Stem	Nervous System	0.00166

Table 3. Results from pathway enrichment analysis for left-handedness (DEPICT and MAGMA). Only results with FDR < 5% are shown.

DEPICT		
Pathway ID	Pathway description	P-value
MP:0000788	abnormal cerebral cortex morphology	0.00000185
MP:0000807	abnormal hippocampus morphology	0.00000187
GO:0008017	microtubule binding	0.00000195
MP:0004275	abnormal postnatal subventricular zone morphology	0.00000549
ENSG00000137285	TUBB2B subnetwork	0.00000571
MP:0000812	abnormal dentate gyrus morphology	0.00000843
ENSG00000206211	ENSG00000206211 subnetwork	0.0000128
ENSG00000206283	PFDN6 subnetwork	0.0000128
ENSG00000204220	PFDN6 subnetwork	0.0000128
GO:0005874	microtubule	0.0000140
GO:0021543	pallium development	0.0000456
MP:0000790	abnormal stratification in cerebral cortex	0.0000500
ENSG00000147601	TERF1 subnetwork	0.0000596
GO:0015631	tubulin binding	0.0000600
REACTOME_APOPTOTIC_EXECUTION__PHASE	REACTOME_APOPTOTIC_EXECUTION__PHASE	0.0000665
GO:0021987	cerebral cortex development	0.0000696
ENSG00000182901	RGS7 subnetwork	0.0000731
ENSG00000106105	GARS subnetwork	0.0000738
GO:0007409	axonogenesis	0.0000902
REACTOME_APOPTOTIC_CLEAVAGE_OF_CELLULAR_PROTEINS	REACTOME_APOPTOTIC_CLEAVAGE_OF_CELLULAR_PROTEINS	0.000108
MAGMA		
reactome_crmps_in_sema3a_signaling	CRMPs in Sema3A signaling	4.13E-08
reactome_axon_guidance	Axon guidance	3.13E-06
go_neurogenesis	Neurogenesis	3.53E-06
reactome_semaphorin_interactions	Semaphorin interactions	9.84E-06
matzuk_preovulatory_follicle	Preovulatory follicle	1.26E-05
reactome_sema3a_pak_dependent_axon_repulsion	Sema3A PAK dependent Axon repulsion	1.73E-05
go_regulation_of_non_motile_cilium_assembly	Regulation of non-motile cilium assembly	3.01E-05

Table 4. SNP heritability and heritability of left-handedness estimated using a range of different approaches.

Data Used	Method	h_g^2 (s.e.) – liability scale
IHC Meta-analysis (32 studies)	LD-score regression	0.031 (0.013)
UK Biobank left-handed individuals only as cases	LD-score regression	0.033 (0.004)
23andMe left-handed individuals only as cases	LD-score regression	0.040 (0.002)
Meta-analysis UK biobank, 23andMe and IHC	LD-score regression	0.035 (0.002)
UK Biobank left-handed individuals only as cases (males)	LD-score regression	0.042 (0.006)
UK Biobank left-handed individuals only as cases (females)	LD-score regression	0.032 (0.005)
UK Biobank left-handed individuals only as cases	REML (BOLT-LMM)	0.059 (0.003)
Right vs Left handed 0.08 < IBD < 0.3 Relatives (no C) + siblings 0.65 > IBD > 0.35 with C in the model.	ACE model	A = 0.12* (95% CI: 0.07 – 0.17) C = 0.045 (95% CI: 0 – 0.09)
Right vs Left handed 0.08 < IBD < 0.3 Relatives (no C) + siblings 0.65 > IBD > 0.35 without C in the model.	AE model	A = 0.20 (95% CI: 0.14 – 0.26)
Meta-analysis of twin studies of handedness ¹²	ACE model	A = 0.25 (95% CI: 0.157 – 0.30) C = 0 (95% CI = 0 – 0.076%)

*Estimate of narrow sense heritability (h^2)

Table 5. Loci associated with ambidexterity through meta-analysis of 23andMe and UK Biobank.

CHR	BP*	SNP	Gene	EA	NEA	EAF	Z	OR*	P	Direction
1	150317558	rs782122127	PRPF3	D	I	0.19	-6.32	0.88	2.70E-10	?-
2	58196110	rs2030237	VRK2	A	G	0.58	5.84	1.04	5.29E-09	++
2	104437850	rs139630683	AC013727.1	D	I	0.45	5.85	1.05	4.88E-09	+?
7	91899117	rs2040498	ANKIB1	A	T	0.65	6.21	1.06	5.42E-10	++
8	77104817	rs10113066	RNU2-54P	T	G	0.51	5.90	1.05	3.74E-09	++
10	89722731	rs36062478	PTEN	T	C	0.87	-5.62	0.94	1.87E-08	--
12	49530132	rs35554786	TUBA1B	D	I	0.24	-6.05	0.93	1.49E-09	-?

CHR: Chromosome; BP: Base pair positions based on the GRCh37/hg19 human genome assembly; EA: Effect allele; NEA: Non-effect allele; EAF: Effect allele frequency; Z: Z-statistic; P-value: Meta-analysis p-value. Direction of effects are shown in the following order: 23andMe and UK Biobank. *OR correspond to that one derived from 23andMe results. . Where the SNP is missing in a cohort "?" is indicated in direction.